

To: Zito, Kelly[ZITO.KELLY@EPA.GOV]; Johnson, Kathleen[Johnson.Kathleen@epa.gov]
From: Blumenfeld, Jared
Sent: Fri 8/29/2014 2:18:21 PM
Subject: Fwd: Government: Say goodbye to Endangered fish. We cannot act to fix water and ecosystem problems.
[image001.png](#)

Jared Blumenfeld
EPA

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Belin, Letty" <letty_belin@ios.doi.gov>
Date: August 29, 2014 at 7:09:56 AM PDT
To: "Blumenfeld, Jared" <BLUMENFELD.JARED@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Government: Say goodbye to Endangered fish. We cannot act to fix water and ecosystem problems.

For what it's worth, this email illustrates the contractors' reaction to the EPA comments.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jason Peltier** <jpeltier@westlandswater.org>
Date: Fri, Aug 29, 2014 at 10:01 AM
Subject: Government: Say goodbye to Endangered fish. We cannot act to fix water and ecosystem problems.
To:

After 20 years of failed regulatory decisions that destroyed the water supply reliability of the CVP and SWP, the reallocation of 3 million acre feet annually away from human uses and the investment of +\$3 billion [over \$1 billion directly taken from CVP customers] all in the name of helping endangered fish. After we, the Public Water Agencies spent \$240 million to develop a plan to meet the dual goals of water supply and ecosystem health [because the Federal and State governments had "no money to plan for the future"] it comes to this. And it is not just the EPA, the government ESA regulators too are demanding perfect understanding of the future before we act.

How can they get away with what seems to be their new operating principal “Let it burn, all of it”?

EPA says California’s Delta water tunnel project could violate federal law

By [Matt Weiser](#)
mweiser@sacbee.com

By Matt Weiser The Sacramento Bee

Last modified: 2014-08-29T04:16:21Z

Published: Thursday, Aug. 28, 2014 - 7:36 pm

Last Modified: Thursday, Aug. 28, 2014 - 9:16 pm

Copyright 2014 The Sacramento Bee. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.

The pair of giant water diversion tunnels proposed in the Delta could violate the federal Clean Water Act and increase harm to endangered fish species, according to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, which released its formal comment on the project Thursday.

In a 43-page letter sent Tuesday to the National Marine Fisheries Service and released publicly on the EPA’s website Thursday, the EPA said its research found that by diverting freshwater from three new intakes proposed on the Sacramento River – farther upstream from existing intakes – the project is likely to increase concentrations of salinity, mercury, bromide, chloride, selenium and pesticides in the estuary.

The letter was submitted as part of the formal comment process for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan, a \$25 billion proposal by the state of California to re-engineer water diversions in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

The most controversial element of the plan is a massive pair of tunnels, 40 feet in diameter and 30 miles long, that would divert a portion of the Sacramento River’s flow at three intakes proposed near Courtland, routing the water to existing diversion pumps near Tracy. The goal is to avoid reverse flows in the estuary caused by the current diversion pumps, which are one source of ecological trouble in the Delta. The new intakes also would have modern fish screens, whereas the

current intakes near Tracy do not.

The California Department of Water Resources had announced Wednesday that environmental studies for the project would be delayed so that certain portions could be rewritten. Officials at the department have not yet revealed what portions need more work. They had hoped to finalize the plan by the end of this year, but the delay is likely to push that timeline to mid-2015.

Richard Stapler, a spokesman for the state Natural Resources Agency, which oversees DWR, said the delay was not triggered by the EPA letter alone.

“As for the specifics, we’re not ready to comment on them point by point,” said Stapler. “There are a number of adjustments and improvements we’re working on. We’re going to continue to work with the EPA on improving the project.”

In the EPA letter, the agency’s regional administrator, Jared Blumenfeld, wrote: “While (the project) would improve the water quality for agricultural and municipal water agencies that receive water exported from the Delta, water quality could worsen for farmers and municipalities who divert water directly from the Delta.”

The agency also notes that the project failed to analyze environmental effects both upstream and downstream of the Delta, particularly on San Francisco Bay. And it warns that overall harm to several native fish species, including endangered Delta smelt and longfin smelt, could increase relative to existing conditions because juvenile fish could become trapped by the new Sacramento River intakes or because their aquatic habitat could shrink.

The Bay Delta Conservation Plan proposes to offset these effects by restoring 150,000 acres of habitat for fish and other species. But the EPA notes there is no evidence that much land is available to restore, or that restoration would be effective.

“We are concerned over the sole reliance on habitat restoration for ecosystem recovery,” the letter states. “We recommend that the (environmental impact studies) consider measures to ensure freshwater flow that can meet the needs of those populations and the ecosystem as a whole.”

The Bay Delta Conservation Plan has been in the works for more than seven years. It aims to stabilize water diversions and repair ecological health in the estuary, a source of freshwater for 25 million Californians and 3 million acres of farmland.

Read more here: <http://www.sacbee.com/2014/08/28/6662668/epa-says-californias-delta-water.html#storylink=cpy>

--

Letty Belin
Senior Counselor to the Deputy Secretary
U.S. Department of the Interior
202-208-6291